Committee	Corporate Scrutiny Committee
Date	14 November 2013
Item	Scrutiny Annual Report 2012-13
Author	Gareth James, Member Support and Scrutiny Manager
Main matters that need attention of members	 Consider the content of the Appendix, suggest changes and approve if appropriate as a record of the work of the Scrutiny Committee for the year to be included in the Scrutiny Annual Report Consider and recommend how best to track Implementation of recommendations

1 Context

- 1.1 The Centre for Public Scrutiny holds an Annual Review of Overview and Scrutiny across England and Wales..
- 1.2 One of the main findings of the Review this year is that Councils that track progress of recommendations made by Scrutineers are able to show more clearly the effect that Scrutineers have.
- 1.3 The Review notes that there is a difference between the number of recommendations that are <u>Accepted</u> by the Cabinet Member and the number of recommendations <u>Implemented</u> by the Cabinet Member.
- 1.4 Here are the figures for the Councils in England and Wales that did track their recommendations during 2011-12:
 - Percentage of Accepted Recommendations 83%
 - Percentage of Implemented Recommendations 62%

2 Gwynedd's Situation

- 2.1 The situation regarding the Recommendations of the Corporate Scrutiny Committee that have been Accepted is:
 - Accepted 14
 - Considered 3
 - Reject 2
 - No Response 11
 - Percentage 47%
- 2.2 The above figure is lower than the average for England and Wales
- 2.3 No assessment has been undertaken of the Implemented Recommendations.